# ARTICLE OPEN Check for updates Optimal teleportation via noisy quantum channels without additional qubit resources

Dong-Gil Im <sup>[b]</sup>, Chung-Hyun Lee<sup>1</sup>, Yosep Kim <sup>[b]</sup>, Hyunchul Nha<sup>2</sup>, M. S. Kim<sup>3,4</sup>, Seung-Woo Lee <sup>[b5 ⊠</sup> and Yoon-Ho Kim <sup>[b] ⊠</sup>

Quantum teleportation exemplifies how the transmission of quantum information starkly differs from that of classical information and serves as a key protocol for quantum communication and quantum computing. While an ideal teleportation protocol requires noiseless quantum channels to share a pure maximally entangled state, the reality is that shared entanglement is often severely degraded due to various decoherence mechanisms. Although the quantum noise induced by the decoherence is indeed a major obstacle to realizing a near-term quantum network or processor with a limited number of qubits, the methodologies considered thus far to address this issue are resource-intensive. Here, we demonstrate a protocol that allows optimal quantum teleportation via noisy quantum channels without additional qubit resources. By analyzing teleportation in the framework of generalized quantum measurement, we optimize the teleportation protocol for noisy quantum channels. In particular, we experimentally demonstrate that our protocol enables to teleport an unknown qubit even via a single copy of an entangled state under strong decoherence that would otherwise preclude any quantum operation. Our work provides a useful methodology for practically coping with decoherence with a limited number of qubits and paves the way for realizing noisy intermediate-scale quantum computing and quantum communication.

npj Quantum Information (2021)7:86; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-021-00426-x

# INTRODUCTION

Quantum teleportation is a process of transmitting an arbitrary unknown quantum state via a quantum and a classical channel<sup>1</sup>. The quantum channel is served by an entangled state shared between the sender and receiver. This not only exemplifies how starkly quantum information differs from classical information but also provides a fundamental building block for various protocols in both quantum communication<sup>2</sup> and quantum computing<sup>3,4</sup>. Quantum teleportation was first demonstrated for a photonic qubit<sup>5,6</sup> and optical coherent states<sup>7</sup>, and later teleportation with complete Bell-state measurement was demonstrated<sup>8</sup>. In recent years, teleportation of photonic qubits over long distances<sup>9,10</sup> and of more complex quantum states have been reported<sup>11,12</sup>. Moreover, quantum teleportation has also been demonstrated for atomic<sup>13,14</sup> and solid-state quantum systems<sup>15,16</sup>. Recently, CNOT gate teleportation<sup>17,18</sup>, teleportation for distributed nodes<sup>19</sup>, and teleportation for chip-to-chip communication<sup>20</sup> have been demonstrated as potential functionalities for scalable quantum architectures.

The basic requirement for an ideal teleportation process is a noiseless quantum channel established by sharing a pure maximally entangled state such as the Bell state<sup>21,22</sup>. However, shared entanglement is often severely degraded in reality due to various decoherence mechanisms resulting in mixed entangled states<sup>23</sup>, seriously deteriorating the performance of the teleportation as a result. The quantum noises and errors induced by decoherence are indeed a major obstacle to constructing a quantum network or connecting components in a scalable quantum architecture. To that end, entanglement purification offers a probabilistic method of preparing a pair of qubits with an increased amount of entanglement, but at the expense of many identically pre-prepared entangled qubits in conjunction with

local operations and classical communications<sup>24–28</sup>. A strategy based on quantum error correction also allows protecting qubits over a noisy channel but requires many qubits in entanglement<sup>29,30</sup>. Very recently, classical post-processing has been used to mitigate the effect of errors in estimating an expectation value without requiring ancillary qubits<sup>31–33</sup>; however, such a technique is not applicable for the transfer of quantum information via a noisy channel.

In this work, we demonstrate a protocol that allows optimal quantum teleportation via noisy quantum channels without additional qubits. In contrast to the previous schemes relying on entanglement purification or quantum error correction which are resource-intensive, it is possible in our protocol to teleport an unknown gubit through a single copy of a decohered entangled state. By analyzing quantum teleportation via noisy quantum channels in the framework of generalized quantum measurement, we find that there exists a joint measurement (analogous to the Bell-state measurement) and the corresponding single-qubit reversing operation, which together achieve optimal quantum teleportation with a substantially enhanced teleportation fidelity. The reversing operation here is chosen to optimally mitigate the effect of noise in the quantum channel. In particular, we experimentally demonstrate that our protocol enables quantum teleportation even via highly noisy quantum channels (i.e., an entangled state under strong decoherence) that would otherwise preclude any quantum operations.

# RESULTS

#### Protocol

Consider the schematic of quantum teleportation via noisy quantum channels as shown in Fig. 1. Alice has an arbitrary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar. <sup>3</sup>QOLS, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London, UK. <sup>4</sup>Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea. <sup>5</sup>Center for Quantum Information, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, Korea. <sup>Semail:</sup> swleego@gmail.com; yoonho72@gmail.com



**Fig. 1 Quantum teleportation in the framework of quantum measurement and reversal.** The Bell state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB}$  is shared between Alice and Bob via a noisy quantum channel, resulting in a mixed entangled state  $\mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle\Psi|)$ . Alice wants to teleport  $\rho_a$  to Bob. The noisy channel and the joint measurement together constitute an effective generalized quantum measurement ( $\mathbf{M}_{a\to B}$ ). Conditioned on the outcome of the effective quantum measurement on Alice's qubits, an appropriate reversing operation ( $\mathbf{R}$ ) is applied to Bob's qubit that ends up in the state  $\rho_B$ . Appropriate joint measurement and reversing operation enable us to achieve optimal teleportation via highly noisy quantum channels.

unknown quantum state  $\rho_a$  which she wishes to transmit to Bob without physically transporting the qubit. An entangled state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB}$  is distributed to Alice and Bob via noisy quantum channels, resulting in sharing a mixed entangled state  $\mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle\Psi|)$ , where  $\mathcal{E} = \{\hat{E}^k\}$  represents the decoherence channel with  $\hat{E}^k$  denoting the Kraus operator for the noise operation. For teleportation, Alice performs joint measurement on the basis  $\{|W_r\rangle_{aA}\}$  on qubit *a* with the state  $\rho_a$  and qubit *A* of the mixed entangled state  $\mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle\Psi|)$ shared between Alice and Bob. In the framework of generalized quantum measurement, the noisy channel and the joint measurement together constitute an effective generalized quantum measurement. That is, after the joint measurement, the reduced state in mode *B* can be written as

$$_{aA}\langle W_r | \rho_a \otimes \mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle \Psi|) | W_r \rangle_{aA} = \sum_k \hat{M}^k_{r,a \to B} \rho_a \hat{M}^k_{r,a \to B^{\dagger}}, \qquad (1)$$

for the outcome *r*. Here, we define an effective operator  $\hat{M}_{r,a\to B}^k \equiv aA\langle W_r | \hat{E}^k | \Psi \rangle_{AB}$ , satisfying the completeness relation,  $\sum_{r,k} \hat{M}_r^{k\dagger} \hat{M}_r^k = 1$ , to represent quantum measurement whose input (*a*) and output (*B*) modes are spatially separated. The measurement outcome *r* is then sent to Bob via a classical channel and Bob performs an appropriate reversing operation  $\hat{R}_B^r$  to obtain an output state  $\rho_{Br}$  i.e.,  $\hat{R}_B^r (\sum_k \hat{M}_{r,a\to B}^k \rho_a \hat{M}_{r,a\to B}^k) \hat{R}_{B^{\dagger}}^r \propto \rho_B$ . Here, the reversing operation is designed to reverse the effective quantum measurement by a non-unitary operation. As the effective quantum measurement encapsulates the joint measurement and the entangled state distributed via a noisy quantum channel, the reversing operation can be optimized relying on the prior information on the noise affecting the entangled state.

Our teleportation protocol can be thus generally represented by a quantum measurement  $\mathbf{M}_{a\to B}$  and its reversal process  $\mathbf{R}$ , i.e.,  $(\mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{M}_{a\to B})(\rho_a) \propto \rho_B^{34,35}$ . This framework encompasses all the previously proposed teleportation protocols, in which the reversing operation is limited to a conditional unitary operation  $\hat{R}' = \hat{U}'$ (referred to as the conventional teleportation protocols in what follows). It recovers the original teleportation protocol if the joint measurement is performed on the Bell basis and Bob applies a conditional Pauli operation.

Our teleportation protocol can be optimized for a given quantum channel  $\mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle\Psi|)$  by modifying the joint measurement performed by Alice and the reversing operation performed by Bob (i) to maximize the average teleportation fidelity *F*, indicating the closeness between the input  $\rho_a$  and the teleported

state  $\rho_{Br}$  and then (ii) to maximize the overall success probability P as well for the given fidelity (see Supplementary Note 1 for details). For a noiseless channel, we can always find protocols to recover the input state faithfully  $\hat{R}' \hat{M}_r \rho \hat{M}_r i \hat{R}'^{\dagger} \propto \rho$ , i.e., F = 1. The protocol can be then optimized such that the success probability P reaches up to the fundamental limit in terms of the trade-off relation between P and the amount of extracted information G by  $\mathbf{M}_{a \rightarrow Br}$  i.e.,  $6G + P \le 4^{35-39}$ . It implies that the more information is extracted by  $\mathbf{M}_{a \rightarrow Br}$  the less possible the teleportation succeeds. In the presence of noise, we optimize the protocol to yield the maximum teleportation fidelity

$$F = \int d\psi \sum_{r} p(r,\psi) \langle \psi | \rho_{B}(r) | \psi \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where  $p(r, \psi) = \sum_{k} \langle \psi | \hat{M}_{r,a \to B^{\dagger}}^{k} \hat{M}_{r,a \to B}^{k} | \psi \rangle$  is the probability obtaining the outcome *r* and  $\rho_{B}(r)$  is the output state when the teleportation succeeds (here we assume a pure input state  $|\psi\rangle$  for simplicity, but the definitions are generally valid for any input state). The teleportation fidelity exceeding the classical limit F > 2/3 ensures genuine quantum teleportation of a qubit via a noisy channel.

In what follows, we shall first experimentally demonstrate that our protocol allows the teleportation fidelity F = 1 and saturates the trade-off relation, 6G + P = 4, via noiseless quantum channels. This demonstration involves a pure non-maximally entangled state between Alice and Bob. Note that, for a pure non-maximally entangled channel, the original teleportation protocol does not yield F = 1. Moreover, probabilistic protocols proposed so far to achieve F = 1 either uses ancillary qubits<sup>40</sup>, requires nontrivial joint measurement<sup>41</sup>, or is unable to reach the maximum bound of *P* in view of  $6G + P = 4^{42}$ . Then, we demonstrate that our protocol enables quantum teleportation with fidelity beyond the classical limit even via highly noisy quantum channels that would make it impossible to perform teleportation with conventional protocols.

#### Experimental demonstration

The experimental schematic for demonstrating optimal teleportation via noisy quantum channels is shown in Fig. 2a. Ultrafast femtosecond laser pulses are used to pump spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) processes to prepare a pair of polarization-entangled photonic qubits in modes *A* and *B* as  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = (|00\rangle_{AB} + |11\rangle_{AB})/\sqrt{2^{43-45}}$  and to prepare a heralded single-photon polarization state in mode *a* as



**Fig. 2** Experimental schematic. a Schematic of the experimental setup. The initial polarization qubit is prepared by the heralded singlephoton source in mode *a*. The polarization-entangled Bell state is prepared in modes *A* and *B* via spontaneous parametric down-conversion. A partial polarizing beam splitter (PPBS1) is used to prepare a pure non-maximally entangled state. The noisy channel implements amplitude damping decoherence, but our protocol applies to all other forms of quantum errors. Joint measurement is performed on photon *A* and photon *a*. The reversing operation is appropriately designed for the amplitude damping channel and the joint measurement outcome. The qubit state at Bob is analyzed via quantum state tomography. BBO barium borate nonlinear crystal, HWP half-wave plate, QWP quarter-wave plate, IF interference filter, FM flip mirror, BS beam splitter, PBS polarizing beam splitter, PPBS partially polarizing beam splitter, BD beam displacer. **b** Two-photon interference (visibility of 0.97) demonstrating indistinguishability between photon *A* and heralded photon *a* using 2-nm interference filters. The red solid circles represent fourfold coincidence count rates among detectors D1, D4, D<sub>T</sub>, and D<sub>B</sub> for 60 s of accumulation time. Here, D<sub>B</sub> is placed in front of PPBS1. The solid line represents the Gaussian fit to the data.

 $|\psi\rangle_a = \alpha |0\rangle_a + \beta |1\rangle_a^{37,39}$ . Here,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  denote the horizontal and vertical polarization states, respectively. A partial polarizing beam splitter (PPBS1) is used to prepare a pure non-maximally entangled state<sup>46</sup>. In the experiment, we have investigated the amplitude damping channel as this particular decoherence model allows us to control the amount of decoherence easily and accurately for the polarization-entangled state shared between Alice and Bob<sup>47-50</sup>. The joint measurement is based on the twophoton quantum interference of two independent single photons a and  $A^{51,52}$ . Indistinguishability of these photons is the key to the successful joint measurement and is demonstrated in Fig. 2b via the high visibility of two-photon interference (visibility of 0.97). For the joint measurement of the polarization gubits in modes a and A, similarly to Bell-state measurement<sup>8</sup>, there are four possible measurement outcomes  $r = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , see Fig. 2 and Eq. (1), each corresponding to a specific joint measurement basis  $|W_r\rangle_{aA}$ . Depending on the measurement outcome r, an appropriate reversing operation should be applied for photon B. See "Methods" for more details on the experimental setup.

We first describe the experimental demonstration of our protocol when a pure non-maximally entangled state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = \cos(\theta/2)|00\rangle_{AB} + \sin(\theta/2)|11\rangle_{AB}$  is shared via a noiseless quantum channel, where  $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$ . In this case, the teleportation fidelity of unity can be achieved, and while maintaining F = 1 the maximal success probability P is also attained to saturate the trade-off relation  $6G + P = 4^{38}$ . For instance, consider a measurement outcome on the basis  $|W_1\rangle_{aA} = \cos(\phi/2)|00\rangle_{aA} + \sin(\phi/2)|11\rangle_{aA}$ . According to Eq. (1), the effective quantum measurement is given as  $\hat{M}_1 =_{aA} \langle W_r | \Psi \rangle_{AB} = \cos(\theta/2) \cos(\phi/2) | 0 \rangle_{Ba} \langle 0 | + \sin(\theta/2) \sin(\phi/2) | 1 \rangle_{Ba} \langle 1 |$ . Then, the corresponding reversing operation is found to be  $\hat{R}_B^1 = \hat{U}^1 (\tan(\theta/2) \tan(\phi/2) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | + | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |)$  for  $\theta \le \phi$ . Here,  $\hat{U}^r$  is the unitary operator determined by the measurement outcome r and, for r = 1,  $\hat{U}^r$  is the identity operator. Therefore, the effective quantum measurement  $\hat{M}_1$  and the reversing operation  $\hat{R}_B^1$  applied to the three qubits a, A, and B, respectively, destroys the qubit

state of a photon *a* and faithfully reconstructs it at photon *B*, i.e.,  $\hat{R}_{B}^{1}\hat{M}_{1}|\psi\rangle_{a} = \sin(\theta/2)\sin(\phi/2)(a|0\rangle_{B} + \beta|1\rangle_{B})$ . In the experiment, the joint measurement basis was chosen to be the Bell basis by setting  $\phi = \pi/2$  to easily satisfy the condition  $\theta \le \phi$  for arbitrary values of  $\theta$  ( $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$ ). The reversing operation was implemented with beam displacers (BD) and half-wave plates (HWPs). We find the average fidelity as we chose six initial states in mutually unbiased bases on the Bloch sphere, i.e.,  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|+\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $|-\rangle = (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $|+i\rangle = (|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$ .

In order to experimentally obtain G by the effective quantum measurement and P by the reversing operation, quantum teleportation is performed for all four joint measurements (not simultaneously, but two at a time), see "Methods" for details on evaluating G and P. Figure 3a shows the experimentally obtained trade-off relation between G and P for five different pure nonmaximally entangled states. It is clear that our teleportation protocol saturates the trade-off relation 6G + P = 4, i.e., is optimal in the sense that the performance of the teleportation reaches the fundamental upper bound<sup>34</sup>. The result demonstrates that "the more information on the input state is extracted by Alice, the less possible the teleportation becomes successful". The teleportation fidelity, which can be estimated by performing quantum state tomography (QST) between the input gubit states in mode a and of the teleported qubit states in mode B, in the cases of sharing a Bell state or other pure non-maximally entangled states have also been obtained, see, for example, Fig. 3b, c. The teleportation fidelity always far exceeds the classical limit of 2/3: Fig. 3b, c exhibits the average fidelities of 0.938 and 0.915, respectively. Slightly reduced fidelity from the ideal value of 1 can be attributed to a number of experimental imperfections, e.g., the fidelity of 0.98 for shared entangled states, lowered two-photon visibility of 0.97 due to remaining partial distinguishability of the photons for the joint measurement, and the interferometric reversing operation with the visibility of 0.96. See Supplementary Note 2 for further details.



**Fig. 3 Experimental result of optimal quantum teleportation via the noiseless quantum channel. a** The success probability of teleportation versus the information gain by Alice. Red solid line represents the ideal trade-off relation and red solid circles show the values for pure non-maximally entangled states shown in the inset. The corresponding experimental data are shown with green solid circles. The error bars represent one standard deviation. Teleportation fidelity in the case of sharing **b** the Bell state  $\sqrt{0.5}|00\rangle + \sqrt{0.5}|11\rangle$  and **c** the pure non-maximally entangled state  $\sqrt{0.56}|00\rangle + \sqrt{0.44}|11\rangle$  for the joint measurement basis  $|W_1\rangle_{aA} = (|00\rangle + |11\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . The dashed lines show the classical limit of 2/3.

We now describe the demonstration of the optimal teleportation via an entangled state under strong decoherence. Here, we consider the amplitude damping in mode *B*. Note that this framework is generally applicable to the decoherence in mode *A* and also for the dephasing and depolarizing decoherence<sup>34</sup>. For this demonstration, the decoherence channel is inserted in the path of photon *B*, at the same time removing PPBS1, see Fig. 2: the mixed entangled state shared between *A* and *B* is given as  $(|00\rangle_{AB} + \sqrt{1-D}|11\rangle_{AB})(_{AB}(00| + \sqrt{1-D}_{AB}(11|)/2 + (D/2)|10\rangle_{AB}(10|$ , where *D* is the degree of decoherence. After the joint measurement in the basis  $|W_r\rangle_{aA}$  with the measurement outcome *r*, the reversing operation  $\hat{U}^r(\sqrt{1-D}|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)$  is applied to optimally reconstruct the initial state of qubit *a* at mode *B*. See "Methods" for further details.

Figure 4 presents both the theoretically and experimentally obtained average teleportation fidelities F by varying degrees of decoherence D. The colored region in Fig. 4 represents the available teleportation fidelities by our protocol beyond the reach of the conventional protocols based on the unitary reversing operation. The experimental results clearly demonstrate that our protocol enables guantum teleportation even via an entangled state under strong decoherence that would otherwise preclude any quantum operations. For instance, if  $D \ge 0.5$ , the mixed entangled state would not violate Bell's inequality<sup>54</sup>. However, even for very strong decoherence values of D = 0.74 and D =0.92 shown in Fig. 4, our protocol allows to teleport unknown quantum states significantly beyond the classical limit F > 2/3. Note that, for amplitude damping decoherence, our teleportation protocol achieves even higher teleportation fidelities if Alice and Bob share a pure non-maximally entangled state. The teleportation fidelity can be enhanced arbitrarily close to unity by decreasing the success probability depending on how much



Fig. 4 Experimental result of optimal quantum teleportation via the noisy quantum channel. Teleportation fidelities achieved over noisy quantum channels. We here consider the amplitude damping decoherence on the maximally entangled two-qubit channel. The solid lines represent the theoretical upper bounds of the average fidelities for our protocol (red) and the conventional teleportation protocol (blue) based on the unitary reversing operation, respectively, while the dashed lines are drawn, including experimental imperfections. The colored region represents the available teleportation fidelities by our protocol beyond the reach of convention teleportation protocols. The red solid circles are the experimental data based on our protocol, with the error bars representing one standard deviation. The results clearly demonstrate that our protocol enables teleportation even via a single copy of an entangled state under strong decoherence that would otherwise preclude any quantum operations.

the channel has decohered. The experimental results and detailed analysis for this specific case are presented in Supplementary Note 3.

## DISCUSSION

We have proposed and experimentally demonstrated a protocol for optimal quantum teleportation via noisy quantum channels, which does not require any additional qubits. We have shown that quantum teleportation can be generally optimized in the framework of generalized quantum measurements and corresponding reversing operations. This approach allows to teleport an unknown qubit via a single copy of an entangled state under strong decoherence that would otherwise preclude any quantum operations. In particular, we have experimentally demonstrated that our protocol enables quantum teleportation even through highly noisy quantum channels, overcoming the limit of the conventional teleportation protocols based on the unitary reversing operation.

In order to cope with the effects of noise in the quantum channel during quantum teleportation, two different approaches may be considered. One approach is to recover the entangled state from the effect of noise to carry out the conventional teleportation protocol. Schemes to recover two-gubit entanglement from the noise in the quantum channel include entanglement distillation/concentration<sup>24–26,28</sup>, protecting entanglement by weak measurement and reversal<sup>49</sup>, and controlling open quantum system<sup>55-57</sup>. The other approach is to modify the teleportation protocol itself to adapt to the noisy quantum channel<sup>40,42</sup>. In this work, by analyzing teleportation in the framework of generalized quantum measurement, we optimize the teleportation protocol itself for noisy quantum channels<sup>41</sup>, offering clear advantages over the above-mentioned approaches. Unlike entanglement concentration/purification schemes, multiple copies of identically decohered two-qubit entangled states are not required and our teleportation protocol achieves optimal quantum teleportation (i.e., saturating the fundamentally achievable fidelity and maximizing the success probability for a given fidelity) of an unknown qubit via a single copy of an entangled state under

strong decoherence that would otherwise preclude any quantum operations. Similarly to other approaches for tackling decoherence in quantum information processing, our optimal quantum teleportation protocol requires prior information of the channel noise so as to determine proper quantum measurement and reversing operation: recent advances in quantum process tomography<sup>58,59</sup> and noise characterization techniques<sup>60,61</sup> offer systematic and efficient approaches for obtaining the information about the quantum noise in the channel.

While there has been immense progress in quantum information processing and long-distance quantum communication in recent years, degradation of entanglement due to noise remains to be an important issue to address in constructing scalable quantum systems. Our work provides insights into practically coping with decoherence with a limited number of qubits and paves the way for realizing noisy intermediate-scale quantum technologies. Potential directions of future research include entanglement-based quantum communication (e.g., longdistance quantum teleportation, deterministic secure quantum communication, etc.) and distributed quantum information processing in a noisy environment.

# METHODS

#### Details on the experimental setup

The SPDC process was pumped by a 390-nm centered ultrafast pulse (~140 fs) and the central wavelength of the SPDC photons is 780 nm. The polarization-entangled photonic qubit pair,  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = (|00\rangle_{AB} + |11\rangle_{AB})/\sqrt{2}$ , was then prepared by using two 1-mm thick type-II BBO crystals, in the frequency-degenerate beamlike SPDC configuration, with a HWP sandwiched between them and a set of compensating crystals to remove spatial and temporal distinguishability<sup>43-45</sup>. The generated polarization-entangled qubit pair is shared between Alice and Bob.

The arbitrary initial state  $|\psi\rangle_a$  to be teleported from Alice to Bob was prepared by using another 1-mm thick BBO crystal in the frequencydegenerate beamlike SPDC configuration. One photon of the SPDC photon pair is detected at  $D_{\tau}$ , heralding the presence of a single photon in mode *a*. The polarization qubit state for the single photon in mode *a*,  $|\psi\rangle_{a^{\prime}}$  was then prepared by using the set of HWP and QWP. Joint measurement of qubits *a* and *A* was implemented by two-photon quantum interference, 2 nm full-width at half-maximum interference filters were used in front of all detectors to further reduce any remaining spectral/temporal distinguishability between the two single photons.

The joint measurement between photons *a* and *A*, analogous to the Bell measurement in conventional teleportation protocols, is to be performed in the following basis set:  $|W_1\rangle_{aA} = \cos(\phi/2)|00\rangle + \sin(\phi/2)|11\rangle$ ,  $|W_2\rangle_{aA} = \sin(\phi/2)|00\rangle - \cos(\phi/2)|11\rangle$ ,  $|W_3\rangle_{aA} = \cos(\phi/2)|01\rangle + \sin(\phi/2)|10\rangle$ , and  $|W_1\rangle_{aA} = \sin(\phi/2)|01\rangle - \cos(\phi/2)|10\rangle$ . In the experiment, by setting the HWP1 angle in Fig. 2a at  $\varphi_1 = \pi/4$ , joint measurement between detectors D1–D2 or D3–D4 implements  $_{aA}\langle W_1|$  measurement and joint measurement between detectors D1–D3 or D2–D4 implements  $_{aA}\langle W_3|$  and  $_{aA}\langle W_4|$ , they are similarly performed by setting  $\varphi_1 = 0$ .

The amplitude damping decoherence channel is given by  $\mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle_{AB}\langle\Psi|) = \hat{E}^1 |\Psi\rangle_{AB} \langle\Psi| \hat{E}^{1\dagger} + \hat{E}^2 |\Psi\rangle_{AB} \langle\Psi| \hat{E}^{2\dagger}, \text{ where the Kraus operators are } \{\hat{E}^1 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{1-D} |1\rangle\langle 1|, \hat{E}^2 = \sqrt{D} |0\rangle\langle 1|\}. \text{ In experiment, } \hat{E}^1 \text{ is implemented by a partial polarizing beam splitter PPBS2 and } \hat{E}^2 \text{ is implemented by reflection at PPBS2 and HWP at $\pi/4$. Incoherent mixing of the two processes results in the amplitude damping decoherence channel. }$ 

Consider a pure arbitrary entangled state  $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = \cos(\theta/2)|00\rangle_{AB} + \sin(\theta/2)|11\rangle_{AB}$  with  $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$  as the quantum channel, where mode *B* experiences the amplitude damping decoherence, and assume that the joint measurement is performed on the Bell basis ( $\phi = \pi/2$ ). According to Eq. (1), the effective quantum measurement is then described by a set of

operators:

$$\begin{split} \hat{M}_{1}^{1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0| + \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1|\\ \hat{M}_{1}^{2} &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}}\sin(\theta/2)|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1|\\ \hat{M}_{2}^{1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0| - \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1|\\ \hat{M}_{2}^{2} &= -\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}}\sin(\theta/2)|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1|\\ \hat{M}_{3}^{1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1| + \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0|\\ \hat{M}_{3}^{2} &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}}\sin(\theta/2)|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0|\\ \hat{M}_{4}^{1} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 1| + \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0|\\ \hat{M}_{4}^{2} &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}}\sin(\theta/2)|0\rangle_{B_{a}}\langle 0|. \end{split}$$

An arbitrary measurement operator can be presented by the singular value decomposition as  $\hat{M}_r = \hat{V}_r \hat{D}_r \hat{U}_r$  with unitary operators,  $\hat{V}_r$  and  $\hat{U}_r$  and a diagonal matrix  $\hat{D}_r = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} \lambda_n^r |v_n^r\rangle \langle v_n^r|$ , where  $\{|v_n^r\rangle|n = 0, ..., d-1\}$  is the orthonormal basis. Here we assume that, without loss of generality, the diagonal elements  $\lambda_n^r$ , i.e., singular values are put in decreasing order, i.e.,  $\lambda_0^r \ge \lambda_1^r \ge ... \ge \lambda_{d-1}^r$ . The optimal reversing operator is then given by  $\hat{\mu}^r \ge \lambda_1^r \ge ... \ge \lambda_{d-1}^r$ .

 $\hat{R}^{r} = \lambda_{d-1}^{r} (\hat{U}_{r}^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{r}^{-1} \hat{V}_{r}^{\dagger}, \text{ s.t.}, \hat{R}^{r} \hat{M}_{r} | \psi \rangle = \lambda_{d-1}^{r} | \psi \rangle \text{ and } P = \sum_{r} (\lambda_{d-1}^{r})^{238}.$ In the presence of noise, the input state  $| \psi \rangle$  is changed to  $\sum_{k} \dot{M}_{r}^{k} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \hat{M}_{r}^{k\dagger}$  after the joint measurement as given in Eq. (1). In this case, the optimal reversing operator for the outcome *r* is given by  $\hat{R}^{r} = \lambda_{d-1}^{r} \hat{U}_{r,k_{m}}^{\dagger} \hat{O}_{r,k_{m}}^{\dagger} \hat{V}_{r,k_{m}}^{\dagger}$ , where  $k_{m}$  yields the maximum smallest singular value, i.e.,  $\lambda_{d-1}^{r,k_{m}} = \max_{k} [\lambda_{d-1}^{r,k_{m}}]^{34}.$ 

For the effective quantum measurement in Eq. (3), the optimal reversing operator is given by the form  $\hat{R}' = \hat{U}'(\tan(\theta/2)\sqrt{1-D}|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)$ , where  $\hat{U}' = \{\hat{l}, \sigma_z, \sigma_x, \sigma_x\sigma_z\}$  for  $r = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . In the experiment, as  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  are encoded in the polarization states  $|H\rangle$  and  $|V\rangle$ , respectively, the reversing operation can be implemented with a Mach–Zehnder interferometer built with polarization-dependent beam displacers and halfwave plates as shown in Fig. 2a. The Mach–Zehnder interferometer implements  $\cos^2(2\varphi_2)|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|$ , where  $\varphi_2$  is the angle of HWP2.

#### Evaluation of information gain and success probability

Assume a quantum measurement, described by a set of operators  $\{\hat{M}_r\}$ , applied to an arbitrary input state  $|\psi\rangle$  (a pure input state is considered for simplicity, but the definition is valid for any mixed states). To quantify the information gain *G*, we use the mean estimation fidelity<sup>36</sup>. In every trial, we can make a guess that the input state is  $|\phi_r\rangle$  for the outcome *r*. The quality of the guess can be evaluated by  $|\langle \psi | \phi_r \rangle|^2$ . By averaging this over all possible input states and outcomes, we can define the information gain as  $G = \int d\psi \sum_r \langle \psi | \hat{M}_r^{\dagger} \hat{M}_r | \psi \rangle |\langle \psi | \phi_r \rangle|^2$ . For the effective measurement in Eq. (3) with D = 0, the information gain is evaluated as  $G = (1 + \cos^2(\theta/2))/3$ .

In experiment, *G* is estimated from the fourfold coincidence counts involving the detector  $D_G$ , the heralding detector  $D_T$ , and the joint measurement detectors shown in Fig. 2a. The probability of state projection is evaluated from the ratio of fourfold coincidences as  $\langle \psi | \hat{M}_r^{\dagger} \hat{M}_r | \psi \rangle / (\sum_r \langle \psi | \hat{M}_r^{\dagger} \hat{M}_r | \psi \rangle)$ , where  $r = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . The quality of guess  $|\langle \psi | \phi_r \rangle|^2$  is obtained by calculating with the optimal guessing state, i.e., the eigenstate of a measurement operator corresponding to the largest eigenvalue<sup>36</sup>. The average fidelity can be obtained by averaging any six input states forming a regular octahedron on Bloch sphere<sup>53</sup>. Here, six input states  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|+\rangle$ ,  $|-\rangle$ ,  $|+i\rangle$ , and  $|-i\rangle$  are used for evaluating the average fidelity.

In a noiseless scenario, an appropriate reversing operation chosen for each measurement operator can recover the input state faithfully, s.t.,  $\hat{R}^r \hat{M}_r |\psi\rangle = \eta_r |\psi\rangle$ , where  $|\eta_r|^2$  is the success probability for each r. The maximum overall success probability of reversing operation is then given by  $P = \max \sum_r |\eta_r|^2$ . It was shown that the information gain and the success probability of reversing operation for a quantum measurement are in a trade-off relation as  $d(d+1)G + (d-1)P \le 2d$  in arbitrary d-dimensional Hilbert space<sup>38</sup>. For qubits d = 2, it becomes  $6G + P \le 4$ . For the effective measurement in Eq. (3) with D = 0, the maximum success probability of reversing operation is obtained as  $P = 2\sin^2(\theta/2)$ .

In the experiment, *P* is estimated from the fourfold coincidence counts involving the detector  $D_{P_r}$  the heralding detector  $D_{T_r}$  and the joint measurement detectors shown in Fig. 2a. Similarly as before, the

6

probability of successful teleportation is evaluated from the ratio of fourfold coincidences as  $\langle \psi | \hat{M}_r^{\dagger} \hat{R}^{\dagger} \hat{R}^{\prime} \hat{M}_r | \psi \rangle / (\sum_r \langle \psi | \hat{M}_r^{\dagger} \hat{M}_r | \psi \rangle)$ .

## Optimal teleportation via noisy quantum channels

Here, we consider optimal teleportation via a maximally entangled state under amplitude damping decoherence (on mode *B*). If the joint measurement is performed on  $|W_1\rangle_{aA} = (|00\rangle_{aA} + |11\rangle_{aA})/\sqrt{2}$ , the effective quantum measurement is given as  $\hat{M}_1^1 = (|0\rangle_{Ba}\langle 0| + \sqrt{1-D}|1\rangle_{Ba}\langle 1|)/2$  and  $\hat{M}_1^2 = (\sqrt{D}/2)|0\rangle_{Ba}\langle 1|$  from Eq. (3). The corresponding reversing operator is  $\hat{R}_B^1 = \hat{U}^1(\sqrt{1-D}|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)$ , where  $\hat{U}^1 = \hat{I}$ . Then, the final output state at mode *B* is given by  $\rho_B = (1-D)(\alpha|0\rangle_B + \beta|1\rangle_B)(\alpha^*_B\langle 0| + \beta^*_B\langle 1|)/4 + D(1-D)|\beta|^2|0\rangle_{BB}\langle 0|/4$ .

## DATA AVAILABILITY

Data are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Received: 28 December 2020; Accepted: 2 May 2021; Published online: 02 June 2021

#### REFERENCES

- Bennett, C. H. et al. Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
- Duan, L.-M., Lukin, M. D., Cirac, J. I. & Zoller, P. Long-distance quantum communication with atomic ensembles and linear optics. *Nature* 414, 413 (2001).
- Gottesman, D. & Chuang, I. L. Demonstrating the viability of universal quantum computation using teleportation and single-qubit operations. *Nature* 402, 390 (1999).
- Knill, E., Laflamme, R. & Milburn, G. J. A scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics. *Nature* 409, 46 (2001).
- Bouwmeester, D. et al. Experimental quantum teleportation. Nature 390, 575 (1997).
- Boschi, D., Branca, S., De Martini, F., Hardy, L. & Popescu, S. Experimental realization of teleporting an unknown pure quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1121 (1998).
- 7. Furusawa, A. et al. Unconditional quantum teleportation. Science 282, 706 (1998).
- Kim, Y.-H., Kulik, S. P. & Shih, Y. Quantum teleportation of a polarization state with a complete bell state measurement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 1370 (2001).
- 9. Ma, X.-S. et al. Quantum teleportation over 143 kilometres using active feedforward. *Nature* **489**, 269 (2012).
- Ren, J.-G. et al. Ground-to-satellite quantum teleportation. Nature 549, 70 (2017).
  Wang, X.-L. et al. Quantum teleportation of multiple degrees of freedom of a
- single photon. *Nature* **518**, 516 (2015). 12. Graham, T. M., Bernstein, H. J., Wei, T.-C., Junge, M. & Kwiat, P. G. Superdense
- Granam, T. M., Bernstein, H. J., Wei, T.-C., Junge, M. & Kwat, P. G. Superdense teleportation using hyperentangled photons. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 7185 (2015).
- Barrett, M. Deterministic quantum teleportation of atomic qubits. *Nature* 429, 737 (2004).
- Olmschenk, S. et al. Quantum teleportation between distant matter qubits. Science 323, 486 (2009).
- Steffen, L. et al. Deterministic quantum teleportation with feed-forward in a solid state system. *Nature* 500, 319 (2013).
- Pfaff, W. et al. Unconditional quantum teleportation between distant solid-state quantum bits. Science 345, 532 (2014).
- Chou, K. S. et al. Deterministic teleportation of a quantum gate between two logical qubits. *Nature* 561, 368 (2018).
- Wan, Y. et al. Quantum gate teleportation between separated qubits in a trapped-ion processor. *Science* 364, 875 (2019).
- Lee, S. M., Lee, S.-W., Jeong, H. & Park, H. S. Quantum teleportation of shared quantum secret. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 060501 (2020).
- Llewellyn, D. et al. Chip-to-chip quantum teleportation and multi-photon entanglement in silicon. *Nat. Phys.* 16, 148 (2020).
- Kwiat, P. G. et al. New high-intensity source of polarization-entangled photon pairs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4337 (1995).
- Kim, Y.-H., Kulik, S. P., Chekhova, M. V., Grice, W. P. & Shih, Y. Experimental entanglement concentration and universal bell-state synthesizer. *Phys. Rev. A* 67, 010301 (2003).
- White, A. G., James, D. F. V., Munro, W. J. & Kwiat, P. G. Exploring Hilbert space: accurate characterization of quantum information. *Phys. Rev. A* 65, 012301 (2001).

- Bennett, C. H., Bernstein, H. J., Popescu, S. & Schumacher, B. Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations. *Phys. Rev. A* 53, 2046 (1996).
- Bennett, C. H. et al. Purification of noisy entanglement and faithful teleportation via noisy channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 722 (1997).
- Pan, J.-W., Gasparoni, S., Ursin, R., Weihs, G. & Zeilinger, A. Experimental entanglement purification of arbitrary unknown states. *Nature* 423, 417 (2003).
- Yamamoto, T., Koashi, M., Özdemir, S. K. & Imoto, N. Experimental extraction of an entangled photon pair from two identically decohered pairs. *Nature* 421, 343 (2003).
- Zhao, Z., Yang, T., Chen, Y.-A., Zhang, A.-N. & Pan, J.-W. Experimental realization of entanglement concentration and a quantum repeater. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 207901 (2003).
- 29. Azuma, K., Tamaki, K. & Lo, H.-K. All-photonic quantum repeaters. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6787 (2015).
- Lee, S.-W., Ralph, T. C. & Jeong, H. Fundamental building block for all-optical scalable quantum networks. *Phys. Rev. A* 100, 052303 (2019).
- Temme, K., Bravyi, S. & Gambetta, J. M. Error mitigation for short-depth quantum circuits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 180509 (2017).
- Li, Y. & Benjamin, S. C. Efficient variational quantum simulator incorporating active error minimization. *Phys. Rev. X* 7, 021050 (2017).
- Kandala, A. et al. Error mitigation extends the computational reach of a noisy quantum processor. *Nature* 567, 491 (2019).
- Lee, S.-W., Im, D.-G., Kim, Y.-H., Nha, H. & Kim, M. S. Quantum teleportation is a reversal of quantum measurement. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12178 (2021).
- Lee, S.-W., Kim, J. & Nha, H. Complete information balance in quantum measurement. *Quantum* 5, 414 (2021).
- Banaszek, K. Fidelity balance in quantum operations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1366 (2001).
- Baek, S.-Y., Cheong, Y.-W. & Kim, Y.-H. Minimum-disturbance measurement without postselection. *Phys. Rev. A* 77, 060308 (2008).
- Cheong, Y. W. & Lee, S.-W. Balance between information gain and reversibility in weak measurement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 150402 (2012).
- Lim, H.-T., Ra, Y.-S., Hong, K.-H., Lee, S.-W. & Kim, Y.-H. Fundamental bounds in measurements for estimating quantum states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 020504 (2014).
- Li, W.-L., Li, C.-F. & Guo, G.-C. Probabilistic teleportation and entanglement matching. *Phys. Rev. A* 61, 034301 (2000).
- Son, W., Lee, J., Kim, M. S. & Park, Y.-J. Conclusive teleportation of a d-dimensional unknown state. *Phys. Rev. A* 64, 064304 (2001).
- Agrawal, P. & Pati, A. K. Probabilistic quantum teleportation. *Phys. Lett. A* 305, 12 (2002).
- Takeuchi, S. Beamlike twin-photon generation by use of type II parametric downconversion. Opt. Lett. 26, 843 (2001).
- Kim, Y.-H. Quantum interference with beamlike type-II spontaneous parametric down-conversion. *Phys. Rev. A* 68, 013804 (2003).
- Niu, X.-L., Huang, Y.-F., Xiang, G.-Y., Guo, G.-C. & Ou, Z. Y. Beamlike high-brightness source of polarization-entangled photon pairs. *Opt. Lett.* 33, 968 (2008).
- White, A. G., James, D. F. V., Eberhard, P. H. & Kwiat, P. G. Nonmaximally entangled states: production, characterization, and utilization. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 3103 (1999).
- Almeida, M. P. et al. Environment-induced sudden death of entanglement. Science 316, 579 (2007).
- Lee, J.-C., Jeong, Y.-C., Kim, Y.-S. & Kim, Y.-H. Experimental demonstration of decoherence suppression via quantum measurement reversal. *Opt. Express* 19, 16309 (2011).
- Kim, Y.-S., Lee, J.-C., Kwon, O. & Kim, Y.-H. Protecting entanglement from decoherence using weak measurement and quantum measurement reversal. *Nat. Phys.* 8, 117 (2012).
- Lee, J.-C. et al. Experimental demonstration of delayed-choice decoherence suppression. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4522 (2014).
- Hong, C. K., Ou, Z. Y. & Mandel, L. Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 2044 (1987).
- Shih, Y. H. & Alley, C. O. New type of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm experiment using pairs of light quanta produced by optical parametric down conversion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2921 (1988).
- Bowdrey, M. D., Oi, D. K. L., Short, A. J., Banaszek, K. & Jones, J. A. Fidelity of single qubit maps. *Phys. Lett. A* **294**, 258 (2002).
- Pramanik, T. et al. Nonlocal quantum correlations under amplitude damping decoherence. *Phys. Rev. A* 100, 042311 (2019).
- Liu, B.-H. et al. Experimental control of the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics of open quantum systems. *Nat. Phys.* 7, 931 (2011).
- Orieux, A. et al. Experimental on-demand recovery of entanglement by local operations within non-Markovian dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1 (2015).
- Valencia, N. H., Goel, S., McCutcheon, W., Defienne, H. & Malik, M. Unscrambling entanglement through a complex medium. *Nat. Phys.* 16, 1112 (2020).

- Kim, Y. et al. Direct quantum process tomography via measuring sequential weak values of incompatible observables. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1 (2018).
- 59. Kim, Y. et al. Universal compressive characterization of quantum dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 210401 (2020).
- 60. Emerson, J. et al. Symmetrized characterization of noisy quantum processes. *Science* **317**, 1893 (2007).
- Harper, R., Flammia, S. T. & Wallman, J. J. Efficient learning of quantum noise. Nat. Phys. 16, 1184 (2020).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea (2019R1A2C3004812, 2020M3E4A1079939), the ITRC support program (IITP-2021-2020-0-01606), Agency for Defense Development (UG190028RD), the KIST institutional program (2E31021), the KIST Open Research Program, the QuantERA ERA-NET within the EU Horizon 2020 Programme, and the EPSRC (EP/R044082/1). M.S.K. acknowledges support from the KIAS visiting professorship and Samsung GRP grant.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

S.-W.L. and Y.-H.K. planned and supervised the research; D.-G.I. performed the experiment and analyzed the data; all authors contributed to analysis and discussion of the results; D.-G.I., S.-W.L., and Y.-H.K. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-021-00426-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.-W.L. or Y.-H.K.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021